

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau




(43) International Publication Date  
5 December 2002 (05.12.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 02/097236 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: E21B 43/02, 33/138, 43/25
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP02/05233
- (22) International Filing Date: 13 May 2002 (13.05.2002)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
MI2001A001113 25 May 2001 (25.05.2001) IT
- (71) Applicants (*for all designated States except US*): ENI S.P.A. [IT/IT]; Piazzale E. Mattei, 1, I-00144 Roma (IT). ENITECNOLOGIE S.P.A. [IT/IT]; Via F. Maritano, 26, I-20097 San Donato Milanese-Milan (IT).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): CHIAPPA, Luisa [IT/IT]; Via Monte Rosa, 2, I-20014 Nerviano-Milan (IT). ANDREI, Maria [IT/IT]; Via Carro Maggiore, 6, I-20060 Mediglia-Milan (IT). LOCKHART, Thomas, Paul [IT/IT]; Corso Mazzini, 45, I-26900 Lodi (IT). BURRAFATO, Giovanni [IT/IT]; Via de Gasperi, 33, I-26838 Tavazzano-Lodi (IT). MADDINELLI, Giuseppe [IT/IT]; Via Settembrini, 37, I-20124 Milan (IT).
- (54) Agents: DE GREGORI, Antonella et al.; Ing. Barzani & Zanardo Milano S.p.A., Via Borgonuovo, 10, I-20121 Milan (IT).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:  
— with international search report  
— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: PROCESS FOR-REDUCING THE PRODUCTION OF WATER IN OIL WELLS



(57) Abstract: A process is described for reducing the production of water in oil wells, with temperatures of up to (90°C), which comprises the injection in the formation around the well of an aqueous solution of one or more polymers selected from those having general formula (I) wherein: n ranges from (0.40) to (0.70), preferably from (0.5) to (0.65); m ranges from (0.15) to (0.65), preferably from (0.3) to (0.5); p ranges from (0.02) to (0.20), preferably from (0.05) to (0.10); n+m+p=1; x<sub>1</sub> is selected from H and CH<sub>3</sub>; R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub> the same or different, are selected from C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> monofunctional hydrocarbyl groups.

WO 02/097236 A1

#### PROCESS FOR REDUCING THE PRODUCTION OF WATER IN OIL WELLS

The present invention relates to a process for reducing the production of water in oil wells, with temperatures of up to 90°C, which comprises the injection into the formation of an aqueous solution of a cationic polymer.

The coproduction of water is a fact which concerns, to varying extents and with different times, all oil or gas wells, and which can have strong negative economic repercussions. The excessive production of water in fact causes both an increase in the costs relating to the disposal of the water and also to a reduction in income due to the limited productivity of hydrocarbons.

In gas fields, moreover, a high water-cut (i.e. the ratio between the water flow-rate of a well and the total water + hydrocarbon flow-rate) can lead to the flooding of the well and consequently its closure.

Furthermore, in the future, problems associated with the production of water will become even more important in view of the characteristics of fields currently in production and of new exploration frontiers. The production of

existing fields in fact (increasingly more mature) is normally characterized by a water-cut which increases with time. The exploration frontiers moreover are moving towards offshore reservoirs, often in deep water, and in areas often characterized by severe environmental regulations.

In wells with a high water-cut, the problem can generally be solved by the mechanical insulation of the production area or by recompletion or workover. The latter solution however is extremely onerous and can cause the loss of enormous volumes of hydrocarbons present in the micro-levels still not influenced by the arrival of water.

"Water shut-off" interventions with gelifying chemical systems (usually polyacrylamides cross-linked with Cr(III) or with systems based on silicates), can represent a valid alternative to mechanical insulation; these compositions, injected into the formation, completely block the movement of the fluids in the zones treated. With this technique, it is possible to totally reduce or eliminate the production of water at accessible costs. This technology however only has a high probability of success when it is possible to identify and selectively insulate the water production areas during the treatment, so as not to damage production from the layers still saturated with hydrocarbons.

Finally, the RPM (Relative Permeability Modifier) technology is based on the injection, in all intervals open

for production, of a chemical agent capable of selectively reducing the permeability to water. The chemical systems used in this type of treatment are hydrosoluble polymers which modify the permeability of the formation following  
5 adsorption on the rock surface. In this way, the permeability in the high water-cut intervals is selectively reduced, whereas the permeability of the intervals which produce hydrocarbons remains unaltered. Intervening with this approach, when appropriate, has numerous advantages with respect to the conventional technologies, in particular: (i)  
10 limit risk of damage, (ii) low environmental impact, (iii) low cost of the treatment thanks to interventions of the "bullheading" type.

The condition necessary for effective treatment with a  
15 permeability modifier polymer is that the polymer itself interacts with the rock surface creating a layer capable of modifying the flow properties of the porous medium. The polymer must therefore have a particularly strong attractive interaction with the rock surface, in order to maximize the adsorption and stability of the layer of adsorbed  
20 polymer, over a period of time.


The polymers used so far have various limitations which have delayed the diffusion of the RPM technology. In particular: polyacrylamides (PAM) have a poor efficacy and  
25 reduced duration of the treatment due to the limited ther-

mal stability; polyacrylamides modified by the introduction of cationic groups have a good efficacy but low resistance to temperature; biopolymers (such as scleroglucan) have injectivity problems as a result of the high viscosity of the polymeric solution and its tendency to flocculate.

Experts in the field have consequently felt the necessity of finding polymers capable of being more effectively adsorbed on rock matrixes and therefore capable of selectively reducing the permeability to water and also resistant to the temperature of the formation.

It has now been found that particular cationic polymers adequately satisfy the above demands, and are particularly thermally stable at medium-high temperatures. Adsorption tests carried out on siliceous sand using polymers containing cationic groups and analogous non-ionic polymers have in fact demonstrated that the former are more strongly adsorbed on the rock with respect to the latter, as a result of the attractive interaction between the surface of the negatively charged sand and the positively charged polymer.

In accordance with this, the present invention relates to a process for reducing the production of water in oil wells which comprises the injection into the formation around the well of an aqueous solution of one or more polymers selected from those having general formula (I):



wherein

n ranges from 0.40 to 0.70, preferably from 0.5 to 0.65;

10 m ranges from 0.15 to 0.65, preferably from 0.3 to 0.5;

p ranges from 0.02 to 0.20, preferably from 0.05 to 0.10;

$n+m+p = 1$ ;

$X_1$  is selected from H and  $CH_3$ ;

$R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , the same or different, are selected from  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$   
15 monofunctional hydrocarbyl groups.

In the preferred embodiment, in the compound having general formula (I) the polymer has:  $n = 0.65$ ,  $m = 0.3$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ,  $X_1 = H$ ,  $R_1 = CH_3$ ,  $R_2 = CH_3$ .

The molecular weight of the polymers usually ranges  
20 from 1.5 to 12 million.

The aqueous solution which can be used in the process of the present invention contains a quantity of polymer of the compound having general formula (I) preferably ranging from 300 to 10000 ppm, even more preferably from 500 to  
25 4000 ppm.

Any type of water available provided it has no suspended solids, can be used as carrying medium, as the compound having general formula (I) is neither influenced by the type or quantity of anions and cations usually contained in water.

The aqueous solution of the present invention normally has a viscosity ranging from 1.5 to 10 cP, much lower values than those of the gelifying solutions.

The volume of aqueous solution to be injected into the formation depends on the height of the production formation to be treated and on the depth to which the solution must penetrate (invasion radius).

The flow-rate of the aqueous solution to be injected is selected in relation to the type of formation to be treated. Furthermore the aqueous solution to be injected can be fed into the formation at the desired pressure, provided this is not higher than the fracture pressure. It is known to experts in the field that it is advantageous for the solution to be injected as rapidly as possible, compatibly with the characteristics of the formation, in order to reduce the treatment time and consequently production-stoppage, to the minimum.

When considered necessary, the process of the present invention can be preceded by an optional pretreatment step (preflush) which can be carried out for example with an

aqueous solution containing a surface-active agent, in order to clean the formation to be treated and obtain a more effective adsorption of the polymer.

In the preferred embodiment, the process of the present invention is preferably followed by an overflush step, i.e. treatment of the formation with brine or gas or oil, in order to push the polymer into the formation. The overflush with gas also has the purpose of re-establishing the connectivity of the gas layer (in gas wells).

Finally, at the end of the injection of the aqueous solution of the compound having general formula (I), a shut-in step is preferably effected, i.e. closure of the well to allow a more effective adsorption of the polymer on the rock matrix.

The process of the present invention has many advantageous aspects, and in particular requires limited quantities of polymer having general formula (I). Furthermore it has the unexpected advantage of being applicable to both gas and oil formations, with temperatures up to about 90°C.

The following examples are provided for a better understanding of the present invention.

#### EXAMPLES

The polymer (called DP/PT 2130, produced by Floerger) used in the examples has the following formula. This is a terpolymer (AM-NVP-DADMAC) obtained from the polymerization


of three monomers:

acrylamide (AM), N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP), diallyldimethylammoniumchloride (DADMAC). The polymer has a molecular weight equal to 5 million.

5 For comparative purposes, in the experimental part another cationic polymer is also used, which is not a part of the invention. This is a cationic polymer (MCAT, produced by MI Drilling Fluids), a poly{acrylamide-acrylamide-(methyltrimethylammonium)} copolymer. The polymer has a molecular weight equal to 2 million.

Both products are in the form of a white powder.


15



CHEMICAL STRUCTURE OF THE POLYMER DP/PT 2130

( $n = 0.65$ ,  $m = 0.30$ ,  $p = 0.05$ )

20



25

CHEMICAL STRUCTURE OF THE CATIONIC POLYMER MCAT

..(p = 0.95, q = 0.05)

EXAMPLE 1: Performances of the cationic polymers DP/PT 2130 and MCAT

5       The performances of the polymers MCAT and DP/PT 2130 were evaluated by means of: a) Adsorption test on sand of the solutions; b) Thermal stability evaluation by means of NMR analysis; c) Core test

1a - Static adsorption test at a temperature of 25°C

10       The polymer solutions were prepared in brine (filtered and degassed solution of KCl 2%) at concentrations of 1000 or 2000 ppm. After putting a certain quantity of sand (3-5 g) in contact with the polymeric solution in a hermetically sealed glass container, the solution with the sand is put  
15 under stirring at the desired temperature to favour contact between the polymer and surface of the sand. After about 24 hours at 25°C, the quantity of polymer adsorbed at the interface is determined by difference (between that initially charged and that remaining in the solution after the test)  
20 by means of TOC analysis (Total Organic Carbon).

The tests were carried out using sand with a prevalently siliceous and clay composition. Table 1 shows a comparison between the adsorption values of the polymer DP/PT 2130 and of the comparative polymer MCAT.

25 Table 1. Adsorption comparison, at 25°C, of solutions of

the polymer DP/PT 2130 on sand (quartzite and reservoir) and analogous solutions of the MCAT polymer. The reservoir sand used has the following composition:

reservoir sand 1: quartz 50%, K-feldspar 6%, plagioclase 15%, calcite 6%, dolomite 1%, clays/micas 22%

reservoir sand 2: quartz 92%, K-feldspar 5%, plagioclase 2%, clays/micas 1%

Table 1. Adsorption at 25°C of solutions of the polymer DP/PT 2130 on sand (quartzite and reservoir) and of analogous solutions of the polymer MCAT.

	Temp. (°C)	Conc. solution (ppm)	Adsorption (mg/g sand) DP/PT 2130	Adsorption (mg/g sand) MCAT
Quartzite	25	1000	0.12	0.13
	25	2000	-	0.32
Reservoir 1	25	1000	1.01	0.7
	25	2000	1.45	1.15
Reservoir 2	25	1000	0.81	0.87
	25	2000	0.72	1.74

It can be observed that both polymers have a limited adsorption on clean sand (quartzite) and a high adsorption on both reservoir sands.

#### 1b1 - Temperature static adsorption test

Following the procedure described in 1a, adsorption tests were effected on sand at temperatures of 70°C and 90°C, using the polymer MCAT and the polymer of the present

invention.

The results of the test are indicated in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparison of the adsorption of solutions of the polymer DP/PT 2130 on sand (quartzite and reservoir) and analogous solutions of the polymer MCAT. The composition of the reservoir sands is indicated in the description of Table 1.

	Temp. (°C)	Conc. solution (ppm)	Adsorption (mg/g sand) DP/PT 2130	Adsorption (mg/g sand) MCAT
Quartzite	70	1000	-	0.035
Quartzite	90	1000	0.13	0.058
Reservoir sand 1	70	1000	1.25	0.4
	70	2000	1.93	0.8
Reservoir sand 1	90	1000	0.86	0.64
	90	2000	1.82	1.71
Reservoir sand 2	90	1000	0.77	0.85
	90	2000	1.4	1.76

It can be observed that both polymers have high adsorptions on the reservoir sands (compare with the data of Table 1), a necessary but not sufficient condition for the success of the RPM treatment.

For successful treatment, in fact, the thermal stability of the polymer must also be considered, in particular of the active cationic group, at the desired temperature.

1b2 - Evaluation of the thermal stability by means of NMR analysis

The thermal stability of the product was evaluated by means of NMR analysis carried out on aqueous solutions of the polymers DP/PT 2130 and MCAT at different temperatures, and in particular the concentration of active cationic groups present at the different temperatures was determined.

Table 3 provides a comparison between the thermal stability of the polymer MCAT (in terms of percentage of cationic groups hydrolyzed at the test temperature) and the polymer DP/PT 2130 of the present invention.

Table 3: Comparison between the thermal stability of the solution of the polymer MCAT and of the solution of the polymer DP/PT 2130 of the present invention after 21 days (via NMR analysis)

15

Temp. (°C)	Time (weeks)	MCAT % of cationic groups hydrolyzed	DP/PT 2130 % of cationic groups hydrolyzed
48	3	58	n.d.
70	3	>99	n.d.
90	3	n.d.	0

20

A significant degradation of the active cationic groups of the polymer MCAT can already be observed at 70°C.

The polymer of the present invention, DP/PT 2130, is, on the other hand, thermally stable also at a temperature of 90°C.

25

1-c - Test in a porous medium using the polymer MCAT

After verifying the adsorption of the polymer on rock, the efficacy of the polymer MCAT was evaluated in selectively reducing permeability to water by means of a test in a porous medium. Two tests were effected in a porous medium  
5 at two different temperatures: 48 and 70°C.

The sandpack was prepared using about 40 grams of sand, so as to obtain a core length equal to about 5 cm to which 2 cm of gravel having 20-40 mesh, are added, one at the head and one at the tail, held by two 70-mesh metallic  
10 nets. The diameter of the sandpack is equal to 1".

Once the sandpack has been assembled in the Hassler steel cell, a boundary pressure of 20 bars is established to avoid the bypassing of the fluids between the VITON tube (which contains the core) and the porous medium. The fol-  
15 lowing procedure is adopted:

- Saturation under vacuum of the sandpack with brine (2% KCl) and subsequent determination of the porosity and absolute permeability at room temperature and at the temperature of interest.
- 20 • Flush of the brine with gas (nitrogen previously humidified) or oil (crude field oil): determination of the initial permeability relating to the gas ( $K_{in}$  gas) or crude field gas ( $K_{in}$  crude field oil) and the corresponding saturation in water.
- 25 • Flush of the gas (or crude field oil) with brine: de-

termination of the initial permeability relating to the brine ( $K_{in}$  brine) and the corresponding saturation in gas (or crude field oil).

- Injection of the polymeric solution of MCAT (1500 ppm) previously filtered and degassed (from 10 to 12 pore volumes) at a constant flow-rate. During the flush the pressure values are collected together with the outgoing fractions to determine the quantity of polymer adsorbed.
- Shut-in of 24 hours.
- Flush of the polymer with brine (2% KCl) at a constant flow-rate (about 1 PV/h). During the flushing the pressure values are recorded and the outgoing fractions collected.
- Determination of the permeability to brine after the treatment with the polymer ( $K_{fin}$  brine).
- Determination of the permeability to gas or oil (crude field oil) after the treatment with the polymer ( $K_{fin}$  gas,  $K_{fin}$  crude field oil) and of the saturation in brine.

The results of the tests are summarized in Table 4.

**Table 4:** Results of the test in a porous medium with the polymer MCAT (1500 ppm). The reduction in permeability to water was calculated after injecting from 600 to 900 pore volumes (PV) of brine. The reservoir sand used has the fol-

lowing composition:

reservoir sand 3: quartz 49%, plagioclase 17%, calcite 21%,  
clays/micas 4%.

5	Sand	Temp. (°C)	K initial brine (mD)	Reduction brine permeability (%)	Reduction gas permeability (%)
	Reservoir 3	48	78	77	20
	Reservoir 3	70	122	22	-

It can be observed that the polymer MCAT is effective  
as permeability modifier relating to a temperature of about  
10 50°C. At 70°C the reduction in permeability to brine is  
significantly reduced with respect to what is observed at  
lower temperatures (22% against 77%). This is in accordance  
with the data of the static adsorption tests and with the  
NMR analyses carried out on the solutions, which showed a  
15 considerable degradation of the polymer MCAT at 70°C with  
an almost complete detachment of the active cationic  
groups. The cationic group is essential for obtaining a  
good adsorption and consequently a good reduction in the  
permeability to brine.

## 20 EXAMPLE 2

Performances of the polymer DP/PT 2130 of the present in-  
vention

Once the thermal stability of the polymer DP/PT 2130  
has been defined, whose solutions proved to be stable at  
25 temperatures equal to 90°C, with good performances in terms

of sand adsorption, the performances of the product were evaluated by means of tests in a porous medium, in which the reduction in permeability to brine and the effect on permeability to hydrocarbons (oil), were evaluated.

5    2-a - Tests in a porous medium

In the tests in a porous medium, carried out with the purpose of evaluating the efficacy of the polymer DP/PT 2130 in reducing permeability to brine, the procedure described in Example 1-c was adopted. Also in this case, a  
10    polymeric solution (in brine KCl 2%) of 1500 ppm, was used. The following tests were carried out:

- test in a porous medium on sandpack (reservoir sand 2) at 90°C: determination of the reduction in permeability relating to brine and evaluation of the effect on  
15    the permeability relating to oil. The reservoir sand (2) used has the mineralogical composition indicated in the description of Table 1.
- test in a porous medium on core (clashach) at 90°C: determination of the reduction in permeability relating to brine and evaluation of the effect on the permeability relating to oil. The core (length 10 cm, diameter 2.54 cm, pore volume 9.28 cm<sup>3</sup>) has the following mineralogical composition: quartz 95%, K-feldspar  
20    5%.

25    In the tests in the porous medium carried out with the

brine-oil biphasic system, a crude field oil was used.

The results of the test are indicated in Table 5.

Table 5: Tests in a porous medium effected with the polymer DP/PT 2130. A typical crude field oil was used in all the tests.

Core	Temperature (°C)	Concentr. DP/PT 2130 (ppm)	Initial perme- abil. brine (mD)	Reduction permeabil. brine (%)	Reduction permeabil. oil (%)
Clashach	90	1500	474	48	5
Sandpack 1 *	90	3000	288	50	5
Sandpack 2 *	90	5000	154	70	9


\* reservoir sand 2 (see description of Table 1)

It can be observed that, unlike the polymer MCAT whose performances were seriously jeopardized at high temperatures due to the degradation of the active cationic groups, the polymer of the present invention provides excellent performances, in terms of selective reduction in permeability to water also at high temperatures. These properties make the polymer suitable for RPM treatment in wells with problems relating to water production and with formation temperatures of up to 90°C.

EPO - DG 1

CLAIMS

1. A process for reducing the production of water in oil wells which comprises the injection into the ~~formation~~ <sup>13.05.2002</sup> around the well of an aqueous solution of one or more polymers selected from those having general formula (I):



wherein

n ranges from 0.40 to 0.70;

15 m ranges from 0.15 to 0.65;

p ranges from 0.02 to 0.20;

n+m+p = 1;

X<sub>1</sub> is selected from H and CH<sub>3</sub>;

20 R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, the same or different, are selected from C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> monofunctional hydrocarbyl groups; preferably C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> alkyl radicals.

2. The process according to claim 1, characterized in that n ranges from 0.5 to 0.65, m ranges from 0.3 to 0.5, p ranges from 0.05 to 0.10.

- 25 3. The process according to claim 1, characterized in

that  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , the same or different, are selected from  $C_1$ - $C_3$  monofunctional alkyl radicals.

4. The process according to claim 3, characterized in that  $R_1=R_2=CH_3$ .
- 5 5. The process according to claim 1, characterized in that the polymer having general formula (I) has a molecular weight ranging from 1.5 to 12 million.
6. The process according to claim 1, characterized in that the concentration of the polymer having general  
10 formula (I) in the aqueous solution ranges from 300 to 10000 ppm.
7. The process according to claim 6, characterized in that the concentration of the polymer having general formula (I) in the aqueous solution ranges from 500 to  
15 4000 ppm.
8. The process according to claim 1, characterized in that in the polymer having general formula (I)  $n = 0.65$ ,  $m = 0.30$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ,  $X_1 = H$ ,  $R_1 = R_2 = CH_3$ .
9. The process according to claim 1, characterized in  
20 that it is preceded by an optional pretreatment step (preflush).
10. The process according to claim 9, characterized in that the pretreatment step is effected with an aqueous solution containing a surface-active agent.
- 25 11. The process according to claim 1, characterized in

that at the end of the above process, an optional  
overflush step is effected, i.e. a treatment of the  
formation itself with brine or gas or oil.

5

10

15

20

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Patent Application No  
PCT/EP 02/05233

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 E21B43/02 E21B33/138 E21B43/25

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 E21B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	EP 0 577 931 A (HOECHST AG) 12 January 1994 (1994-01-12) page 4, line 16 - line 43; claims 1,7,8,10	1-6
Y	WO 00 20527 A (PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO) 13 April 2000 (2000-04-13) page 2, line 15 - line 19 page 3, line 10 - page 4, line 3 page 5, line 10 - line 29; example II	1-6
A	WO 96 23849 A (KB TECHNOLOGIES LTD) 8 August 1996 (1996-08-08) page 20, line 26 - page 22, line 24; claims 1,9-13,22,25	1-6

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- \*A\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 September 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

07/10/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Boulon, A

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/05233

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0577931	A	12-01-1994	BR 9301516 A	30-11-1993
			DE 59308707 D1	30-07-1998
			DK 577931 T3	01-02-1999
			EP 0577931 A1	12-01-1994
			MX 9302045 A1	29-07-1994
			NO 931335 A	11-10-1993
			RU 2060358 C1	20-05-1996
			US 5379841 A	10-01-1995
WO 0020527	A	13-04-2000	US 6124245 A	26-09-2000
			AU 739305 B2	11-10-2001
			AU 1705000 A	26-04-2000
			BR 9914352 A	26-06-2001
			EP 1144541 A1	17-10-2001
			NO 20011775 A	07-06-2001
			WO 0020527 A1	13-04-2000
WO 9623849	A	08-08-1996	US 5663123 A	02-09-1997
			AT 195546 T	15-09-2000
			AU 692368 B2	04-06-1998
			AU 4901596 A	21-08-1996
			CA 2212031 A1	08-08-1996
			DE 69609814 D1	21-09-2000
			DE 69609814 T2	29-03-2001
			DK 807151 T3	18-12-2000
			EP 0807151 A1	19-11-1997
			EP 0997515 A1	03-05-2000
			ES 2151148 T3	16-12-2000
			JP 10513491 T	22-12-1998
			PT 807151 T	31-01-2001
			WO 9623849 A1	08-08-1996